**Annex no. 1: Examples of cases that applied the provisions of the Covenant in national courts in the Fourth report of the Republic of Lithuania under the International covenant on civil and political rights.**

1. In the hearing of cases, courts have often used the principle of access to justice set out in Article 2 of the Covenant (for example, ruling of Šiauliai County Administrative Court of 15 May 2017 in the civil case No. 2S-441-372/2017; ruling of the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 22 March 2017 in the administrative case No. AS-257-146/2017; Ruling of the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 11 November 2016 in the administrative case No. eA-2638-662/2016; ruling of Kaunas County Court of 9 November 2016 in the civil case No. 2S-1620-324/2016; ruling of the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 24 August 2016 in the administrative case No. AS-576-438/2016; ruling of Vilnius County Administrative Court of 12 February 2016 in the administrative case No. eI-5885-596/2016; ruling of Klaipėda County Administrative Court of 26 January 2016 in the administrative case No. eI-1185-342/2016; decision of Šiauliai County Administrative Court of 8 January 2016 in the administrative case No. I-82-621/2016; ruling of the Lithuanian Court of Appeal of 30 October 2014 in the civil case No. [2-1672/2014](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/876671); ruling of Kaunas Country Administrative Court of 8 September 2014 in the administrative case No. I-3433-402/2014).
2. Article 15 of the Covenant were also applied (for example, ruling in the criminal case of the Supreme Court of Lithuania of 10 November 2015 No. 2K-P-100-222/20150; ruling of the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 4 January 2017 in the administrative case No. AS-31-756/2017; ruling of the Supreme Court of Lithuania of 30 December 2016 in the criminal case No. 2K-7-304-976/2016; ruling of Klaipėda County Court of 27 January 2014 in the criminal case No. 1A-24-107/2014; ruling of Kaunas County Court of 22 February 2013 in the criminal case No. 1A-132-290/2013)*.*
3. Provisions of Article 8 of the Covenant were used as a basis (for example, ruling of the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 13 November 2012 in the administrative case No. [A822-2686/2012](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/481754); ruling of the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania of 18 October 2012 in the administrative case No. [A146-1956/2012](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/479001)) and Article 14 (for example, ruling of Kaunas County Court of 15 December 2016 in the administrative case No. [ATP](http://www.infolex.lt/ta/103787)-981-383/2016; ruling of Kaunas County Court of 15 November 2016 in the administrative case No. [ATP](http://www.infolex.lt/ta/103787)-879-319/2016; ruling of the Lithuanian Court of Appeal of 4 October 2016 in the civil case No. e2A-632-798/2016; ruling of the Supreme Court of Lithuania of 28 January 2014 in the criminal case No. [2K-10/2014](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/800567); decision of Šiauliai County Court of 22 January 2014 in the case No.1-4-309/2014).
4. In the hearing of cases, the Supreme Administrative Court of Lithuania followed Article 21 of the Covenant (for example, ruling of 12 December 2013 in the administrative case No. [A261-1701/2013](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/777411); ruling of 23 July 2013 in the administrative case No. [A858-2475/2013](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/705521)) and Article 22 (for example, ruling of 8 December 2014 in the administrative case No. [A146-2392/2014](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/939950); ruling of 29 June 2012 in the administrative case No. [A520-2412/2012](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/386569))*.*
5. Courts also relied on provisions of Article 20 of the Covenant (for example, ruling of the Supreme Court of Lithuania of 14 October 2014 in the criminal case No. [2K-359/2014](http://www.infolex.lt/tp/874557)), Article 7 (for example, decision of Šiauliai County Administrative Court of 28 May 2015 in the administrative case No. I-75-289/2015) and Article 25 (ruling No. [KT29-N18/](http://www.infolex.lt/ta/72720)2015 of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania of 4 November 2015; ruling No. [KT27-N16/](http://www.infolex.lt/ta/72720)2015 of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Lithuania of 20 October 2015).