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**SUBMISSION OF THE MONITORING MECHANISM OF THE**

**NATIONAL IWI CHAIRS FORUM**

The Monitoring Mechanism is a working group created by Māori and is independent of government. Members of the Monitoring Mechanism have been selected by their *iwi* (tribal nation) and endorsed by the National Iwi Chairs Forum to act as independent experts. The Monitoring Mechanism is supported in its work by technical advisers.

The National Iwi Chairs Forum is the national collective of *iwi* chairpersons who represent *hapū* (groupings of extended families) and *iwi*. It functions in accordance with *tikanga* (Māori law) and on the basis of *He Whakaputanga o te Rangatiratanga o Nu Tireni*[[1]](#footnote-1) (*He Whakaputanga*), *Te Tiriti o Waitangi*[[2]](#footnote-2) (*Te Tiriti)* and the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. It meets regularly to discuss and act collectively on issues ranging from constitutional transformation, resource protection and recovery and economic development. The National Iwi Chairs Forum also addresses government policy and practice as it impacts on Māori and engages in regular dialogue with government representatives on priorities, issues and projects.

The Monitoring Mechanism provides the following information on issues affecting the rights of Māori.

**The Waitangi Tribunal and the Treaty settlement process**

The Waitangi Tribunal is a statutory body with the power to inquire into claims by Māori that the government has acted in breach of Treaty principles. The Tribunal can make recommendations however, these are not binding on the government. The government has rejected the recommendations of the Waitangi Tribunal on a number of occasions and including in cases involving the protection and recognition of Maori land rights.[[3]](#footnote-3) Other UN human rights committees have been critical of New Zealand in this respect as has the UN Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.[[4]](#footnote-4)

The government has also created the Treaty settlement process whereby it negotiates settlements of historical claims directly with claimant groups. The Treaty settlement process is not based on a human rights framework, nor indeed *Te Tiriti* but is a creation of government policy which is weighted heavily in favour of political considerations such as acting in the best interests of all New Zealanders and limiting fiscal and economic redress.[[5]](#footnote-5) The objective of the process is to “strike a balance to negotiate fair, just, and practical settlements.”[[6]](#footnote-6) However, these processes are in practice less than fair or just from a human rights perspective.

It is government policy to negotiate claims with ‘large natural groupings’ rather than individual *whānau* (family) and *hapū*.[[7]](#footnote-7) According to the government this policy is “workable… cost-effective… and meets a greater number of needs.”[[8]](#footnote-8) In practice however, this policy conflicts with one of the core government principles upon which Treaty settlements are based - that in attempting to resolve outstanding claims the government should not create further injustices.[[9]](#footnote-9)

The government has also prescribed a mandating process which heavily favours it’s desire to complete settlements with large natural groupings. Serious concerns have been raised by Māori about this process with a number of urgent claims being made to the Waitangi Tribunal[[10]](#footnote-10) providing evidence of a lack of representativeness and accountability, unfair processes and marginalisation of smaller groups. This has resulted in poor outcomes leading to some claimant’s rights and interests not being adequately represented within the settlement process.

A flow on effect when *hapū* and *iwi* are excluded from the settlement process is that lands and resources they have an interest in are offered by the government to other claimant groups. This has been the experience of the *hapū* Āraukūkū and the *iwi* NgātiKahu. *Āraukūkū* were only able to voice their concerns when the draft settlement legislation was in its final stages[[11]](#footnote-11) while NgātiKahu chose direct action by occupying a domestic airport situated on land that was to be offered to other claimants.[[12]](#footnote-12)

Other concerns raised by Māori in relation to the Treaty settlement process include but are not limited to the negotiation process,[[13]](#footnote-13) the narrow scope and nature of settlements,[[14]](#footnote-14) and the limited statutory options available to claimants.[[15]](#footnote-15)

The Human Rights Committee has previously considered these issues and made a specific recommendation that “the State party should ensure that the views expressed by different Māori groups during consultations in the context of the historical Treaty claims settlement process are duly taken into account.”[[16]](#footnote-16)

The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights has also recommended that “the State party ensure that the inalienable rights of Māori to their lands, territories, waters and marine areas and other resources as well as the respect of the free, prior and informed consent of Māori on any decisions affecting their use are firmly incorporated in the State party’s legislation and duly implemented.”[[17]](#footnote-17)

The two previous Special Rapporteurs on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples have also issued recommendations that the government reach agreement with Māori on a more fairer process that complies with international human rights standards.[[18]](#footnote-18)

Unfortunately, the government is not prepared to address the problems associated with the Treaty settlement process leading to increasing Māori frustration and disillusionment. The Treaty settlement process is in dire need of review and reform. This must be undertaken with the full and effective participation of Māori. Failure to do so will lead to further injustices and will continue to undermine the relationship between the government and Māori.
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